

# Comments for Planning Application 161152/DPP

## Application Summary

Application Number: 161152/DPP

Address: 14 The Chanonry Aberdeen AB24 1RP

Proposal: Part change of use from class 9 (residential) to mixed use, associated to the Diocese of Aberdeen, incorporating: class 10 (activities associated to a religious body) at part of ground floor, and Class 4 (offices) at 2nd floor.

Case Officer: Alex Ferguson

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Robert Hamilton

Address: 85 Don Street Old Aberdeen Aberdeen

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to this proposal. This is a very important and sensitive historical part of Aberdeen which is in the heart of the conservation area and zoned as wholly residential. The introduction of commercial property into this area must be strongly resisted to prevent a precedent being set no matter who the occupier is. This change of usage runs counter to the Council's own Conservation Policy.

There is virtually no parking in this area which is already well used by visitors to Seaton Park, St Machars Cathedral and Cruickshank Botanic Garden throughout the week. To add more cars to this area is unsustainable. The parking at the side of this house would only take one car safely so there is not parking space for the six office workers, residents and visitors to this office property. It is immaterial that some do not currently drive. This comment is so ingenious that the reassurance that no further development is planned should be taken with a pinch of salt. No development might be planned today, what about tomorrow?

The increased traffic flow and congestion is also unacceptable. The proposers already cite the use by the University Principal but do not mention that the Cathedral Kirk also conduct service, hold meetings and put on concerts regularly, to add more functions of over a hundred people with their cars is not acceptable.

Both the Chanonry and the narrow one way Don Street are used by thousands of pedestrians, mostly students, daily. Additional traffic pressure is both detrimental to safety as well as disruptive to residents.

I object strongly to this application.